MOSAIC (MOtherS' Advocates In the Community)

a randomised trial of mentor mother support to reduce partner abuse and depression among pregnant and recent mothers

Angela Taft, Rhonda Small, Kelsey Hegarty, Judith Lumley, Lyndsey Watson, Lisa Gold





MOSAIC rationale

- Intimate partner abuse prevalent in general practice (GP) and maternal and child health (MCH) nurse populations (Hegarty and Bush 2002, Oriel 1998, MCHR unpublished PRISM data)
- GP and MCH nurse services important sites for victim support, but nurses and doctors under-confident, often untrained and poorly supported

Victorian primary care services





General practice clinics







Maternal and child health nurse clinics

MOSAIC aims and objectives

- To reduce partner abuse and/or depression among women aged 16+ pregnant or with children under 5 whom their GPs or MCH nurses identify as at risk of, or experiencing IPA
- To strengthen the health, wellbeing and attachment of at-risk or abused women to their children

Evidence base for intervention

- Little evidence for what works (Wathen and McMillan 2003, Ramsay, Feder et al 2008)
- Some evidence social support improves mental health at any level of IPA (Coker et al, 2002)
- Some evidence for effectiveness of:
 - domestic violence advocacy (Sullivan and Bybee, 1999)
 - home visiting nurses with disadvantaged mothers (Olds et al, 1997)
 - mentoring victimised pregnant women up until birth (McFarlane et al, 1997)

→ MENTOR MOTHERS



MOSAIC mentor mothers

- Open, compassionate, non-judgmental mentors
- Training in IPA advocacy, depression care, parenting support
- Provide befriending, a 'listening ear', empowerment, advocacy and support
- Contact once a week ~ 12 months
- Mobiles for contact and safety
- Regular group supervision and peer support



Intervention processes

- Two co-ordinators provide training and ongoing support bi-monthly
- >60 women recruited (10+ Viet) and trained (~40+ retained)
- 4 and 8 month reviews and exit interviews by phone or face to face
- Mentors and co-ordinators keep consistent records for evaluation

MOSAIC evaluation design

- A cluster randomised trial
- Process evaluation
- Outcome evaluation
 - Valid and reliable measures of
 - Partner abuse (CAS)
 - Depression (EPDS)
 - Health and wellbeing (SF36),
 - Parent-child dysfunction (PSI-SF)
 - Social support (MOS),

• Economic cost consequences evaluation

MOSAIC design



VAW ethical issues (WHO, 2001)

- Women's safety
 - Enhanced health care for all participating women
 - Women's choice of meeting place
 - Well mother card includes family violence services
- Researcher safety
 - Buddy system
 - Mobile phones

Outcomes



mothers' advocates in the community



Clinician referral rates

- 24 GP clinics and 82 MCH centres
- 61% clinics (n=65/106) referred women
- 7% nurses and 11% GPs (6 or more) over two years
- 51% nurses and 46% GPs (none)

Participation flowchart



Did women see mentors and what did they think?

- 86/90 supplementary surveys completed
 - 10 declined mentor (too busy, no need)
- 76% (58/76) mentored 12 months
- 58% met weekly, 19% fortnightly
- 62% at home, 26% elsewhere (cafés)
- Most valued:
 - Someone who always encouraged me (79%)
 - Talk about anything that bothered me (78%)
- Most gained
 - Felt better about myself (61%)
 - Less isolated (56%), better parent (56%)
- 82% would definitely recommend mentoring to another

Characteristics of women retained in MOSAIC

| Factor | Intervention (n=90) % | Comparison (n=43) % |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Mean age | 32y | 32y |
| Married Single, separated or divorced | 32% 46% | 26% 48% |
| Only one child 12 years schooling or less Health care card | 46% 47% 74% | 53% 51% 70% |
| Pension or benefit Overseas born | 62% 36% | 53% 32% |

Changes in socio-demographic characteristics

- Twice as many mentored women began more study in last 12 months
 - 32% compared with 16% in non-mentored arm
- AdjOR 2.04 (CI 1.08 5.2).

Partner abuse (Composite Abuse Scale)

- All women showed a decrease in levels of partner abuse levels at follow-up, compared with the baseline
- Evidence of a true difference in abuse --8.67 (-16.2 to -1.15) CAS
- Less than half as many likely to be abused
 - Odds Ratio 0.47 (0.21 to 1.05 weaker evidence)

Depression (≥13 EPDS)

- Average difference in EPDS score greater in mentored arm
 - 15.0 to 8.9 cf 12.9 to 9.9
 - --1.9 (-4.12 to 0.32)
- Proportion of women depressed smaller in mentored arm
 - 72% to 22% cf 60% to 33%
 - 0.42 (0.17-1.06)

Changes in women's wellbeing (SF36)

Physical health average score +2.79 (range 0.40 to 5.99)

Mental health average score +2.26 (range -1.48 to 6.0)

Conclusions

- Strong design
- Qualified support for mentors
- Bias and limited power due to low number of referrals and 2:1 ratio
- Statistical adjustment for bias does not alter trend for benefit of mentor support

- "I have more power now as a woman and I let [my husband] know that I will not let him abuse me or take my daughter away. He was always saying I am crazy and that he will get custody of our daughter and that used to upset me but now I just tell him that I will not let him do that and that I am not crazy." 'Annika'
- "a person who had never known me...she would keep the secret...In that role I felt safe to tell her what had been ...in my heart'...she lifts my spirit...I was unravelling the knots in my mind" 'Lien'

Acknowledgements

- MOSAIC Coordinators
 - Ann Harley, Kim Hoang, Viv Woska, Cath Kerr, Doris Sant
- Wonderful mentors
- Research staff
 - Jan Wiebe, Monique Keel, Vicki Wells, Lisa Patamisi, Karalyn McDonald, Kim Hoang
- Women's Health West and Berry Street DV services
- Funding Agencies
- NHMRC, VicHealth, Victorian Government, beyondblue



